# MANAGEMENT OF THE ROOT ROT OF PEANUT IN THE NEWLY RECLAIMED LAND IN EI-BEHERA GOVERNORATE, EGYPT

### Atta-Alla, S. I.\*, I. A. El-Samra \*\*, A. E. El-Korany\*, M. A. El-Sheikh\* and M. F. El-Nawam\*.

Plant Path. Dept., Fac. Agric., Damanhour, Alex. Univ.
\*\* Agric. Bot. Dept., Fac. Agric., Saba-Basha, Alex. Univ.

# ABSTRACT

Several fungi were found to be associated with root rot of peanut in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate. Rhizoctonia solani, Aspergillus niger, Fusarium spp., Sclerotium rolfsii, and Macrophomina phaseolina were prevalent over the collected samples and recovered in frequencies of 74%, 69%, 66 %, 48% and 39% , respectively. Rhizopus stolonifer, Pythium debaryanum, Mucor spp. and Verticillium albo-atrum were also recovered but at much lower frequencies of 22%, 21%, 13% and 9%, respectively. The cv. G6 of peanut was the most resistant cultivar tested. Means of the pre-and post-emergence damping off incited on cv. G6 were as low as 16.8% and 20.6 %, respectively. This compared to 21.2%; 29.1% on cv. G5. and 28.6 %; 33.8% on cv.G4. The 1<sup>st</sup> of may was the best sowing date for suppressing the root rot of peanut in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate. Amount of the disease, assessed as damping off, was as low as 44.6% when peanut was seeded on the 1<sup>st</sup> of May compared to 55.6%, 51.7%, and 59.3% for the  $15^{\text{th}}$  of April, the  $15^{\text{th}}$  of May and the 1<sup>st</sup> June, respectively. NPK fertilization at 15-45-48 unit/fed. was the best NPK fertilization rate for minimizing damping off to 25.9% and maximizing pod yield of peanut to 827 kg/fed. Implementation of the above recommended agronomic measures along with the use of the biofungicide Plant-guard significantly decreased the damping off incited to 19.9% which was not significantly different from that of the fungicide Topsin-M (16.7%). Rizolex-T, however, aided with the above recommended agronomic measures, was of the highest effect and

suppressed root rot of peanut to 6.9% compared to 10.5% for Vitavax 200, and 31.1% for the non-treated control. This was reflected in 93.1% healthy survival plants and 1285 kg/fed. pod yield of peanut compared to 68.9% and 856 kg/fed., respectively, for the non-treated control.

# **INTRODUCTIN**

Peanut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) is one of the most important and widely distributed crops in Egypt. It comes after cotton, rice and onion in our export crops (El-Deeb *et al.*, 2002). Besides, it is considered one of the important field crops for improving sandy soil qualities as its root nodules bacteria can fix the atmospheric nitrogen (Salui and Bhatacharya, 1998). Consequently, cultivation of peanut in Egypt is mainly concentrated in the newly reclaimed land where El-Behera governorate is considered a major area.

Unfortunately, in such region peanut is negatively affected with the root rot disease. Large losses are annually occurred due to this disease which affect seed germination, plant growth, and both yield and quality of peanut.

Various methods for the control of root rot of peanut were suggested worldwide. These included the use of resistant cultivars (Bahatia *et al.*, 1996; Butzler *et al.*, 1998), cultural practices (Helal *et al.*, 1994; El-Deeb and Ibrahim, 1998), and biological and chemical control (Umamaheswar and Ramakrishnan 1994; Frank *et al.*, 1998; Siddiqui *et al.*, 2002; Cilliers *et al.*, 2003). Each of these control measures is an important means in checking root rot of peanut (Helal *et al.*, 1994). However, a combination of these methods is suggested for a sustainable and efficient control (Muthamilan and Jeyarajan, 1996; Butzler, 1998; Sheela *et al.*, 2000; Cilliers *et al.*, 2003).

The present study, therefore, was conducted to determine (i) fungi responsible for the root rot of peanut in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate, (ii) effect of varietal reaction, sowing date and major macro-nutrients on root rot disease incidence and yield of peanut, and (iii) potential of the biofungicide Plant-guard as well as certain chemical fungicides for the control of root rot of peanut under field condition.

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Isolation and identification of the causal organisms.

Diseased peanut plants and seedlings showing root rot symptoms were sampled from different regions in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate during the 1998-1999 summer seasons. These regions were El-Bostan, El–Nobaria, and South El-Tahrir. Samples were washed in tap water, cut into small pieces, surface sterilized with 0.01% sodium hypochlorite solution for 2mins, rinsed in sterile distilled water, dried in sterilized filter paper and plated onto PDA. Plates were incubated at 25°C in darkness for 5 days. Developed cultures were purified by the single spore isolation or the hyphal tip technique. Purified fungi were identified according to Booth (1977) and Barnett and Hunter (1987).

# Pathogenicity tests and varietal reaction.

Pathogenicity tests were conducted in 25-cm pots previously sterilized in 5% formalin for 15mins. and air dried for one week. Pots were filled with autoclaved soil mixture of clay and clean sand in 1:1 (v/v).

Inocula of the recovered fungi were prepared on autoclaved barley grains medium (Morsy, 1999) under aseptic conditions and incubated at  $25^{\circ}$ C for 2 weeks. Sterilized potted soil was inoculated with fungal inocula at rate of 5% (w/w). The same amount of autoclaved barley grains medium was added to pots to serve as a control.

Seeds of three cultivars of peanut tested were obtained from the Oil Crops Section, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.These cultivars were the root rot susceptible cv. Giza 4 (El-Deeb, *et al.*, 2002), the moderately resistant widely grown in El–Behera cv. Giza 5 (Morsy, 1999) and the newly introduced cv. Giza 6. Seeds were surface sterilized with 0.01% sodium hypochlorite solution for 2mins, rinsed several times in sterile distilled water and sown as 10 seeds/pot in five replicate pots for each tested fungus. Pots were watered as needed and treated according to the normal agricultural practices. Re-

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

isolation was conducted to ensure the association of the tested fungi with the developed disease.

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

# Field experiments.

A series of field experiments were conducted in El-Bostan region, El-Behera governorate, during the 2000-2003 growing seasons in fields naturally heavily infested with the root rot of peanut. Four replicate plots  $(4 \times 3.6m)$  for each treatment were prepared and arranged in a completely randomized block design. Each plot contained five rows, 60 cm apart, feeded with single seeds, 20 cm apart, to comprise a total of 100 seeds/plot. Plots were treated as conventionally recommended for peanut cultivation or otherwise stated.

### 1- Effect of sowing date on root rot incidence:

In the 2000 and 2001 summer seasons, peanut cv.G6 was seeded at four successive dates as recommended for peanut cultivation (Abdel-Galil, 1977). These dates were the 15<sup>th</sup> of April, 1<sup>st</sup> of May, 15<sup>th</sup> of May, and 1<sup>st</sup> of June.This was to investigate the effect of sowing date on incidence of the root rot of peanut.

### 2- Effect of NPK fertilization on root rot incidence:

In the summer seasons of 2001 and 2002, peanut cv.G6 was sown at the recommended date obtained from the previous experiment. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers were applied at three rates recommended for peanut cultivation in different studies (Helal *et al.*, 1994; El-Deeb and Ibrahim, 1998; Morsy, 1999). NPK was applied as amonium sulphate (0,15,30 N unit), calcium superphosphate (0,30,45 P unit), and potassium sulphate (0,24,48 K unit) per feddan. Superphosphate was added before sowing, ammonium sulphate was added twice 20 and 40 days after sowing, while potassium sulphate was added twice at 25 and 45 days after sowing (El-Deeb and Ibrahim, 1998).

### **3-** Biological and chemical control:

In the 2002 and 2003 summer seasons peanut seeds, cv. G6, were soaked for 12 hours in Plant-guard suspension  $(120 \times 10^6 \text{ conidia/L})$  and immediately sown adopting label instruction. Plant-guard which is a *Trichoderma harzionum* formulation was supplied by El-Naasr Co. Besides, three systemic, commercially available, widely used fungicides (*i.e.*, Rizolex-T; Vitavax 200; Topsin-M)

were obtained from Kafr El-Zayat Co. and tested in checking root rot of peanut. Seeds of cv. G6 of peanut were treated with the tested fungicides as seed dressing at the rat of 2g/kg of seeds. Check treatment was prepared by sowing surface sterilized peanut seeds cv.G6. Sowing date and fertilization rate were conducted according to the recommendations derived from the previous two experiments.

### Disease and yield assessment.

Root rot incidence was assessed according to Helal *et al.*(1994) and El-Deeb and Ibrahim (1998) in terms of percentage of pre-emergence damping off, post-emergence damping off, and percentage of the healthy survival plants 15, 45 and 90 days after planting, respectively. Average pod yield of peanut was determined for each conducted treatment according to Morsy (1999), 120 days after planting.

### Statistical analysis.

Data obtained were statistically analysed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1973) and Rangaswamy (1995). Least significant differences (LSD) test was conducted for means according to Walter and Duncan (1969) at 5 % of probability.

### RESULTES

### Fungi associated with the root rot of peanut.

Different fungi were recovered from peanut plants showing root rot and damping off symptoms sampled from the surveyed newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate (Table1). *Rhizoctonia solani, Aspergillus niger, Fusarium spp., Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* were prevelent over the collected samples and recovered in frequencies of 74%, 69%, 66%, 48%, and 39%, respectively. *Rhizopus stolonifer, Pythium debaryanum, Mucor spp., and Verticillium albo–atrum* were also recovered but at lower frequecies of 22%, 21%, 13%, and 9%, respectively (Table1).

### Pathogenicity tests and varietal reaction.

Pathogenicity tests conducted on three cultivars of peanut, *i.e.*, G4, G5, and G6, revealed that *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Aspergillus* 

niger, Fusarium solani, Fusarium oxysporum, Sclerotium rolfsii, and Macrophomina phaseolina were pathogenic to the three tested cultivars and incited 16.3-47.2% and 18.7-56.4% for the pre-and post-emergence damping off, respectively (Table 2). However, *Rhizopus stolonifer, Mucor spp., Verticillium albo-atrum* and *Pythium debaryanum*, were only pathogenic to cv. G4 and failed to induce a significant damping off on any of the G5 and G6 cultivars.

Table 1: Frequency of fungi recovered from peanut samples showing<br/>root rot and damping off symptoms collected from<br/>different localities in El-Behera governorate during 1998 -<br/>1999 summer seasons.

| Fungi                   | Frequency (%)* |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| Rhizoctonia solani      | 74             |  |
| Aspergillus niger       | 69             |  |
| Fusarium solani         | 45             |  |
| Fusarium oxysporum      | 21             |  |
| Sclerotium rolfsii      | 48             |  |
| Macrophomina phaseolina | 39             |  |
| Pythium debaryanum      | 21             |  |
| Rhizopus stolonifer     | 22             |  |
| Mucor spp.              | 13             |  |
| Verticillium albo-atrum | 9              |  |

\* Number of isolates recovered from 100 peanut samples plated on PDA.

### Vol. 3 (1) 2004

| %Pre-emergence<br>damping off <sup>a</sup> |   |   | %Post-emergence<br>damping off <sup>b</sup>  |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| G4   | G5  | <b>G6</b>   | <b>G4</b>  | G5   | <b>G6</b>   |
| 37.3                                       | 27.5  | 22.5  | 56.4   | 45.0   | 32.5  |
| 47.2                                       | 37.5  | 24.3  | 35.1   | 43.7   | 21.0  |
| 21.9                                       | 18.6  | 16.3  | 33.9   | 25.4   | 18.7  |
| 33.4                                       | 24.3  | 17.2  | 45.2   | 44.5   | 27.3  |
| 41.8                                       | 25.3  | 20.7  | 52.7   | 43.7   | 37.5  |
| 43.6                                       | 28.7  | 21.5  | 49.8   | 37.5   | 25.2  |
| 17.3                                       | 13.9  | 12.1  | 18.3   | 14.2   | 12.9  |
| 15.6                                       | 12.7  | 11.5  | 16.8   | 13.7   | 11.2  |
| 14.7                                       | 12.3  | 11.3  | 14.1   | 11.3   | 10.1  |
| 13.3                                       | 11.6  | 11.1  | 16.2   | 12.4   | 9.8   |
| 4.7  | 4.3   | 3.4   | 4.1  | 4.4  | 3.3   |
| 28.6                                       | 21.2  | 16.8  | 33.8   | 29.1   | 20.6  |
| Α  | В   | С   | Α  | В  | С   |
| 8.1  | 9.7   | 8.9   | 8.6  | 9.9  | 9.8   |
|  | da       G4       37.3       47.2       21.9       33.4       41.8       43.6       17.3       15.6       14.7       13.3       4.7 <b>28.6</b> A | damping of       G4     G5       37.3     27.5       47.2     37.5       21.9     18.6       33.4     24.3       41.8     25.3       43.6     28.7       17.3     13.9       15.6     12.7       14.7     12.3       13.3     11.6       4.7     4.3 <b>28.6 21.2</b> A     B | damping off *       G4     G5     G6       37.3     27.5     22.5       47.2     37.5     24.3       21.9     18.6     16.3       33.4     24.3     17.2       41.8     25.3     20.7       43.6     28.7     21.5       17.3     13.9     12.1       15.6     12.7     11.5       14.7     12.3     11.3       13.3     11.6     11.1       4.7     4.3     3.4 <b>28.6 21.2 16.8</b> A     B     C | damping off a     da       G4     G5     G6     G4       37.3     27.5     22.5     56.4       47.2     37.5     24.3     35.1       21.9     18.6     16.3     33.9       33.4     24.3     17.2     45.2       41.8     25.3     20.7     52.7       43.6     28.7     21.5     49.8       17.3     13.9     12.1     18.3       15.6     12.7     11.5     16.8       14.7     12.3     11.3     14.1       13.3     11.6     11.1     16.2       4.7     4.3     3.4     4.1       28.6     21.2     16.8     33.8       A     B     C     A | damping off a     damping off       G4     G5     G6     G4     G5       37.3     27.5     22.5     56.4     45.0       47.2     37.5     24.3     35.1     43.7       21.9     18.6     16.3     33.9     25.4       33.4     24.3     17.2     45.2     44.5       41.8     25.3     20.7     52.7     43.7       43.6     28.7     21.5     49.8     37.5       17.3     13.9     12.1     18.3     14.2       15.6     12.7     11.5     16.8     13.7       14.7     12.3     11.3     14.1     11.3       13.3     11.6     11.1     16.2     12.4       4.7     4.3     3.4     4.1     4.4       28.6     21.2     16.8     33.8     29.1       A     B     C     A     B |

# Table 2: Pre- and post-emergence damping off incited on G4, G5, andG6 cultivars of peanut sown in potted soil artificiallyinfested with the root rot fungi of peanut.

<sup>a</sup> estimated 15 days after sowing <sup>b</sup> estimated 45 days after sowing. Means followed by the same letter for each of the pre- and postemergence damping off are not significantly different at p = 0.05.

The cv. G6 of peanut was the most resistant cultivar of peanut tested. Means of pre- and post-emergence damping off on cv. G6 were as low as 16.8% and 20.6%, respectively. This compared to 28.6%; 33.8% on cv. G4 and 21.2%; 29.1% on cv. G5 for the pre- and post-emergence damping off, respectively (Table 2).

# Field experiments.

### 1-Effect of sowing date on root rot incidence:

Pre-and post-emergence damping off were as low as 21.5 % and 23.1%, respectively, when peanut was seeded on the  $1^{st}$  of May(Table 3). This compared to 26.7% and 28.9% for the  $15^{th}$  of April for both phases of damping off. Delaying sowing date to the  $15^{th}$  of May was reflected in 25.4% and 26.3% for pre-and post-emergence damping off, respectively. A further increase of 27.6% and 31.7% for pre- and post-emergence damping off was revealed with delaying sowing date to the  $1^{st}$  of June. Meantime sowing of peanut on the  $1^{st}$  of May significantly increased both the healthy

survival plants (55.4%) and pod yield of peanut (686 kg/fed.). This was significantly higher than of the other sowing dates of peanut tested (Table 3).

Table 3: Effect of sowing date on root rot incidence and pod yield of<br/>peanut cv. G6 sown in fields naturally infested with the root<br/>rot disease during the 2000-2001 summer seasons.

| Sowing date            | %Pre-<br>emergence<br>damping off <sup>a</sup> | %Post-<br>emergence<br>damping off <sup>b</sup> | % Healthy<br>survival<br>plants <sup>c</sup> | Pod yield<br>Kg/fed. <sup>d</sup> |
|------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| 15 <sup>th</sup> April | 26.7*  | 28.9  | 44.4   | 621                               |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> May    | 21.5   | 23.1  | 55.4   | 686                               |
| 15 <sup>th</sup> May   | 25.4   | 26.3  | 48.3   | 615                               |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> June   | 27.6   | 31.7  | 40.7   | 532                               |
| LSD at 5%              | 3.8  | 3.1   | 4.9  | 58                                |

\* Values are means of the combined data of the 2000 and 2001 summer seasons. <sup>a</sup> estimated 15 days after sowing, <sup>b</sup> estimated 45 days after sowing <sup>c</sup> estimated 90 days after sowing, <sup>d</sup> estimated 120 days after sowing

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

### 2-Effect of NPK fertilization on root rot incidence:

Data presented in Table (4) revealed that nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium application, generally, decreased percentage of pre-and post-emergence damping off. This was reflected in increasing the healthy survival plants and pod yield of peanut. The 15-45-48 unit/fed. of NPK, however, exhibited the lowest percentage of preemergence damping off (12.2 %) and post-emergence damping off (13.7 %). This was accompanied with the highest percentage of the healthy survival plants (74.1 %) and the highest pod yield of peanut (827 kg/fed.). This followed by the 15-45-24 was NPK fertilization rate, where pre- and post-emergence damping off were 14.4 % and 14.6 %, respectively. This was reflected in 71.0 % healthy survival plants and 785 kg/fed. pod yield of peanut (Table 4).

### **3-Biological and chemical control:**

Data illustrated in Table (5) showed that treatment of peanut seeds before sowing with the biofungicide Plant-guard significantly decreased both pre-and post-emergence damping off to 9.6% and 10.3%, respectively. This was accompanied with 80.1% healthy survival plants and 1051 kg/fed. pod yield of peanut. On the other hand, treatment of peanut seeds with Rizolex-T fungicide exhibited a higher effect. It suppressed both pre- and post-emergence damping off to 2.7% and 4.2%, respectively. This was followed by 4.6%; 5.9% for Vitavax 200 and 7.5%; 9.2% for Topsin-M compared to 14.7%; 16.4% for the non-treated control. Meantime, Rizolex-T showed the highest healthy survival plants and the highest pod yield of peanut, *i.e.* 93.1% and 1285 kg/fed., respectively (Table 5).

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

| N  | PK<br>(unit) | % Pre-<br>emergence<br>damping off | % Post-<br>emergence<br>damping off | %Healthy<br>survival<br>plants | Pod yield<br>( kg /fed. ) |
|----|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 0  | 0 0          | 26.1*                              | 37.3                                | 36.6                           | 386                       |
| 0  | 0 24         | 23.3                               | 33.7                                | 43.0                           | 447                       |
| 0  | 0 48         | 22.1                               | 30.5                                | 47.4                           | 485                       |
| 0  | 30 0         | 24.7                               | 27.7                                | 47.6                           | 482                       |
| 0  | 30 24        | 18.2                               | 22.5                                | 59.3                           | 626                       |
| 0  | 30 48        | 17.5                               | 19.9                                | 62.6                           | 622                       |
| 0  | 45 0         | 23.2                               | 28.6                                | 48.2                           | 496                       |
| 0  | 45 24        | 19.7                               | 21.9                                | 58.4                           | 585                       |
| 0  | 45 48        | 17.6                               | 17.8                                | 64.6                           | 639                       |
| 15 | 0 0          | 24.9                               | 32.3                                | 42.8                           | 520                       |
| 15 | 0 24         | 20.8                               | 23.7                                | 55.5                           | 617                       |
| 15 | 0 48         | 18.1                               | 19.3                                | 62.6                           | 665                       |
| 15 | 30 0         | 17.4                               | 19.4                                | 63.2                           | 685                       |
| 15 | 30 24        | 15.7                               | 17.9                                | 66.4                           | 728                       |
| 15 | 30 48        | 14.5                               | 15.6                                | 69.9                           | 763                       |
| 15 | 45 0         | 15.9                               | 18.7                                | 65.4                           | 688                       |
| 15 | 45 24        | 14.4                               | 14.6                                | 71.0                           | 785                       |
| 15 | 45 48        | 12.2                               | 13.7                                | 74.1                           | 827                       |
| 30 | 0 0          | 25.2                               | 38.9                                | 35.9                           | 486                       |
| 30 | 0 24         | 24.9                               | 29.7                                | 45.4                           | 546                       |
| 30 | 0 48         | 18.6                               | 26.3                                | 55.1                           | 637                       |
| 30 | 30 0         | 18.3                               | 23.2                                | 58.5                           | 655                       |
| 30 | 30 24        | 15.3                               | 19.3                                | 65.4                           | 725                       |
| 30 | 30 48        | 14.7                               | 17.9                                | 67.4                           | 755                       |
| 30 | 45 0         | 17.1                               | 18.3                                | 64.6                           | 697                       |
| 30 | 45 24        | 14.2                               | 16.8                                | 69.0                           | 768                       |
| 30 | 45 48        | 14.4                               | 16.2                                | 69.4                           | 772                       |
|    | D at 5%      | 1.3                                | 1.6                                 | 2.3                            | 69                        |

# Table 4: Effect of NPK fertilization rate on root rot incidence and pod yield of peanut cv.G6 sown in fields naturally infested with the root rot disease during the 2001-2002 summer seasons.

\* Values are means of the combined data of the 2001 and 2002 summer seasons.

### Vol. 3 (1) 2004

# Table 5: Effect of seed treatment of peanut, cv.G6, with the

# biofungicide Plant-guard and certain chemical fungicides on root rot incidence and pod yield of peanut.

| Treatments    | Dose<br>g/kg<br>seeds | % Pre-<br>emergence<br>damping off | % Post-<br>emergence<br>damping off | %Healthy<br>survival<br>plants | Pod yield<br>( kg /fed.) |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rizolex-T     | 2                     | 2.7                                | 4.2                                 | 93.1                           | 1285                     |
| Vitavax 200   | 2                     | 4.6                                | 5.9                                 | 89.5                           | 1213                     |
| Topsin-M      | 2                     | 7.5                                | 9.2                                 | 83.3                           | 1119                     |
| Plant-guard   | Soaking*              | 9.6                                | 10.3                                | 80.1                           | 1051                     |
| Control       | -                     | 14.7                               | 16.4                                | 68.9                           | 856                      |
| (non-treated) |                       |                                    |                                     |                                |                          |
| LSD at 5%     |                       | 2.3                                | 2.9                                 | 3                              | .3 87                    |

Values are means of the combined data of the 2002 and 2003 summer seasons. \* Plant-guard suspension  $(120 \times 10^6 \text{ conidia/L})$ .

### DISCUSSION

Several fungi were found to be associated with root rot of peanut in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate where peanut is intensively cultivated in Egypt. *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Aspergillus* niger, *Fusarium spp.*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, and Macrophomina phaseolina were prevalent over the collected samples and recovered in frequencies of 74%, 69%, 66 %, 48% and 39%, respectively. *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Pythium debaryanum*, *Mucor spp.* and *Verticillium alboatrum* were also recovered but at much lower frequencies of 22%, 21%, 13% and 9%, respectively. These findings are in harmony with reports from Egypt and other parts of the world (Helal *et al.*, 1994; Shim *et al.*, 1996; El–Korashy, 1998; Frank *et al.*, 1998; Morsy, 1999, El-Wakil and Ghonim, 2000; Cilliers *et al.*, 2003).

The cv. G6 of peanut was the most resistant cultivar tested. Pre-and post-emergence damping off, as a classical assessment for the root rot of peanut (Abdel-Galil, 1977; El-Deeb and Ibrahim, 1998; Morsy, 1999), were as low as 37.4% (combined data) on the cv. G6. This compared to 50.3% on cv. G5 and 62.4% on cv. G4 of peanut.

These results are in agreement with Helal *et al.* (1994), El-Deeb and Ibrahim (1998), El-Korashy (1998), and Morsy (1999).

The 1<sup>st</sup> of May was found to be the best sowing date, recommended (Abdel–Galil, 1977), for suppressing the root rot of peanut. Damping off developed when peanut was seeded on the 1<sup>st</sup> of May, was as low as 44.6% (combined data) compared to 55.6%, 51.7%, and 59.3% for the 15<sup>th</sup> of April, the 15<sup>th</sup> of May, and the 1<sup>st</sup> of June, respectively, in fields heavily infested with the root rot disease. These results are supported by Helal *et al.* (1994), and Morsy (1999).

Nutritional management for the advantage of plant host and disadvantage of plant pathogen is a new approach for plant diseases control (Jones *et al.*, 1989; Rush *et al.*, 1997). NPK fertilization at 15-45-48 unit/fed. was found to be the best NPK fertilization rate for minimizing both phases of damping off to 25.9% (combined date) and maximizing pod yield of peanut cv. G6 to 827 kg/fed. These findings are in harmony with Helal *et al.* (1994), El-Deeb and Ibrahim (1998), and Morsy (1999).

The use of *Trichoderma* is a promising tool as a biocontrol agent for plant diseases control (Adams, 1990). The *Trichoderma* formulation, Plant-guard, as seed treatment effectively suppressed both phases of damping off on cv. G6 of peanut to 19.9% (combined data) compared to 31.1% for the non-treated control. The use of *Trichoderma*, however, is still in need for further studies for optimizing, probably, doses, methods, and time of application. Genetic engineering can play a part to maximize its antagonistic effect to reach to an effective and eco-friendly biofungicide for the root rot control of peanut (Persly and Mac Intyre, 2002). These results are in agreement with Umamaheswar and Ramakrishnan (1994), Muthamilan and Jeyarajan (1996), Mehrotra *et al.* (1997), and El-Deeb *et al.* (2002).

Despite that biological control and the agronomic control measures are gaining much interests for several environmental concerns, the use of fungicides is still a major component of any integrated pest management programme (Dent 1995). In the present study, use of the fungicides Rizolex-T, Vitavax 200, and Topsin-M as seed treatment (2g/kg seed), significantly decreased damping off to 6.9-16.7% (combined data). Rizolex-T, however, was of the highest

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

effect as suppressed damping off developed to 6.9% compared to 10.5%, 16.7%, and 31.1% for Vitavax 200, Topsin-M, and the non-treated control, respectively.

Consequently, the use of the resistant cv. G6 of peanut, the right sowing date of the 1<sup>st</sup> of May, the optimum NPK fertilization rate of 15-45-48 unit/fed. and the fungicide Rizolex-T (2g/kg.) as seed dressing, in integration, has resulted in a significant suppression to the root rot of peanut to be as low as 6.9 %, in heavily infested fields in the newly reclaimed land in El-Behera governorate. This was accompanied with a considerable increase in both of the healthy survival plants (93.1%) and pod yield of peanut (1285 kg/fed.). Such increase in plant yield is due to the reduction in disease severity and the consequent better plant vigor. These findings are in harmony with results obtained by Muthamilan and Jeyarajan (1996), Butzler *et al.* (1998), El-Deeb and Ibrahim (1998), Morsy (1999), El-Wakil and Ghonim (2000), Sheela *et al.* (2000), El-Deeb *et al.* (2002), and Celliers *et al.* (2003).

Vol. 3 (1) 2004

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Galil, A. A. 1977. Studies on root rot disease of peanut in A.R.E and its control. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Adams, P. B. 1990. The potential of mycoparasites for biological control of plant diseases. Anna. Rev. Phytopathol. 28:59-72.
- **Barnett, H.L. and B.B Hunter. 1987.** Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. The 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Mc Millan Pub. Co. Inc. USA.
- Bahatia, J. N., S. Gang and O. Padhyay. 1996. Sources of resistance to collar rot and leaf spots of groundnut in Ragasthan. Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Path. 26: 108-109.
- Booth, C. 1977. The genus *Fusarium*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, UK.
- **Butzler, T., I. Bailey and M. Beute. 1998.** Integrated management of *Sclerotinia* blight in peanut: utilizing canopy, mechanical pruning, and fungicide timing. Pl. Dis. 82 : 1312-1318.
- Cilliers, A. I., Z. A. Pretorius and P.S. Vanwyk. 2003. Integrated control of *Sclerotium rolfsii* on groundnut in South Africa. Phytopathology 151: 249-258.
- **Dent, D. R. 1995.** Principles of integrated pest management. In: Integrated pest management, pp. 9-45 (ed. by D. R. Dent). Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- El-Deeb, A. A. and E. M. Ibrahim. 1998. Integrated control of peanut root rot, pod rot disease and their effect on nodulation and N-content of plants. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci, 13 : 3, 442-458.
- El-Deeb, A. A, S. M. Abdel-Monem and A. A. Hanafi. 2002. Effect of some fungicides and alternative compounds on root and pod rots in peanut. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 80, 1: 71-82.
- El-Korashy, M. 1998. Response of peanut cultivars to growth regulators and some soil borne fungi. Alex. Sci. Exch. 19: 51-60.
- El-Wakil, A. A. and M. I. Ghonim. 2000. Survey of seed-borne microflora of peanut and their control. Egypt. J. Agric Res. 72, 1:47 61.

- Frank, M.D., T. B. Brenneman, K. L. Stevenson and G. B. Padgett. 1998. Sensitivity of isolates of *Sclerotium rolfsii* from peanut in Feorgia to selected fungicides. Pl. Dis. 82:578-583.
- Helal, A. A., A. H. Metwally, S. A. Khaled and A.A. El-Deeb. 1994. Evaluation of peanut cultivar, date of sowing and NPK as integrated control measures against soil borne diseases. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21: 1151-1162.
- Jones, J. P., A. W. Engelhand and S. S. Waltz. 1989. Management of *Fusarium* wilt of vegetables and ornamentals by macro- and microelement nutrition. In: Soil-borne pathogens: Management of diseases with macro- and microelement, pp. 18-45 (ed. by A. W. Engelhand). APS Press, St. Paul, USA.
- Mehrotra, K., K. Aneja and A. Aggarual. 1997. Fungal control agents. In: Environmentally safe approach to crop disease control, pp.111-131 (ed. by N. Rechigl and J. Rechigl). CRC Press, USA.
- Morsy, S.M. 1999. Pathological studies on root rot of peanut in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Suez. Canal Univ. Ismailia, Egypt.
- Muthamilan, M. and R. Jeyarajan. 1996. Integrated management of *Sclerotium* root rot of groundnut involving *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Rhizobium* and carbendazim . Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Path. 26: 204-209.
- **Persly, J. and L. Mac Intyre. 2002.** Agricultural biotechnology. CAB Int. Wallingford, UK.
- Rangaswamy, R. 1995. A text book of agricultural statistics. Wishwa Brak Ltd. Spantech House, South Godstone, Surry, UK.
- Rush, C. M, G. Piccinni and M. Harvenson. 1997. Agronomic measures. In: Environmentally safe approach to crop diseases control, pp. 244-281 (ed. by N. Rechigl and J. Rechigl). CRC Press, USA.
- Salui, M. and P. Bhatacharya. 1998. Competition of *Rhizobium* with the foot rot pathogen (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) on groundnut rhizoplane. J. Mycol. Res. 36: 73-80.
- Sheela. J., R. Vimala and D. Packiaraj. 2000. Management of root rot of groundnut. Madras Agric. J. 87: 510-512

- Shim, H. K., D. Lee, G. Lee and S. Yu. 1996. Fungi associated with seed and seedling diseases of peanut. J. Agric. Sci. and Crop Protec. 38: 507-515.
- Siddiqui, S., S. Shankat and M. Hamid. 2002. Role of rhizobacteria on suppression of foot infecting fungi and root knot nematode of peanut. Phytopathology 150: 564-575.
- **Snedecor G.W and W.G. Chocran. 1973.** Statistical methods. The 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, USA.
- Umamaheswar, I. and G. Ramakrishnan. 1994. Effect of seed treatment with *Trichoderma viride* and moisture levels on root rot disease in groundnut. Madras Agric. J. 81: 553-555.
- Walter, A. and D. Duncan. 1969. Multiple range and multiple test. Biometers 11:124.

# الملخص العربى

# مكافحة عفن جذور الفول السوداني في الأراضي المستصلحة الجديدة بمحافظة البحيرة – جمهورية مصر العربية

# سعيد إبراهيم عطائله ، إبراهيم عبد السلام السمره \*\* ، أحمد السيد الكورانى ، ، معيد إبراهيم عطائله ، محمد أحمد الشيخ و محمد فهمى النوام \*

\* قسم أمراض النبات، كلية الزراعة بدمنهور ، جامعة الإسكندرية.
\*\* قسم النبات الزراعي ، كلية الزراعة سابا باشا ، جامعة الإسكندرية.

فى در اسة إستهدفت الكشف عن الفطريات المسببة لعفن جذور الفول السوداني في الأراضى المستصلحة الجديدة بمحافظة البحيرة تم عزل فطريات Rhizoctonia solani Macrophomina و Sclerotium rolfsii و Fusarium spp. و Aspergillus niger phaseolina وكانت نسبة تواجدها 74% ، 69 % ، 66 % ، 48 % ، 95% على التوالي، كما عزلت فطريات Rhizopus stolonifer و Mucor spp. و Verticillium albo-atrum بنسبة أقل هي 22 % ، 21 % ، 13% ، 9% علي التوالي ، وقد أظهر الصنف جيزة 4 قابلية شديدة للإصَّابة ( 62.4%) بفطريات عفن الجذور المعزولة بينما أظهر الصنف جيزة 5 قابلية متوسطة ( 50.3%) بينما كان الصنف جيزة 6 هو الأكثر مقاومة في إختبارات القدرة المرضية حيث لم تتعدى نسبة إصابته 37.4% بفطريات عفن الجذور المعزولة، وفي سلسلة من التجارب الحقلية بحقول مصابة بعفن جذور الفول السوداني بالأراضي المستصلحة الجديدة بمنطقة البستان بمحافظة البحيرة أعطت الزراعة في أول مايو للصنف جيزة 6 أقل نسبة مئوية (44.6 %) للإصابة بموت البادرات قبل وبعد ظهور ها فوق سطح التربة وذلك بالمقارنة بباقي مواعيد الزراعة الأخري المختبرة وهي 15أبريل (55.6%)، 15 مايو (51.7 %) ، والاول من يونيو (59.3 %) كما أدى التسميد بمعدل 15-45-48 وحدة نيتروجين ، فوسفور ، بوتاسيوم على التوالي إلى خفض أكثر لنسبة الإصابة لتصل إلى 25.9 % ، وكذا فقد وجد أنه بزراعة الصنف جَيزة 6، في الأول من مايو مع التسميد الموصى بـة ومعاملة البذور قبل الزراعة بالمبيد الحيوي Plant-guard أدى إلى إنخفاض أكثر في نسبة الإصابة لتصل إلى 19.9 % وكان ذلك لا يختلف معنوياً عن تأثير المعاملة بالمبيد الفطرى توبسين-Μ (7.16%)، هذا إلا ان معاملة البذور برايزولكس- T كانت الأكثر فاعلية حيث أدت إلى إنخفاض نُسبَةُ الإصابة بأعفان الجذور إلى 6.9% بالمقارنة بـ 10.5% للمعاملة بفيتافاكس 200 و 31.1% للغير معامل وقد كان ذلك مصحوباً بزيادة نسبة النباتات السليمة إلى 93.1% وزيادة المحصول الناتج إلى 1285 كجم/فدان بالمقارنة بـ 68.9% نباتات سليمة ومحصول 856 كجم/فدان في غياب المعاملة بأي من المبيدات الفطرية الحيوية أو الكيماوية