PROTONATION OF THIOUREA IN ACID SOLUTIONS

M. W. Khalil

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Cairo, Giza. EGYPT.

ABSTRACT

UV Spectroscopy is applied here for the determination of the protonation constant of thiourea. The spectrum shows two peaks at 208 and 238 nm. The UV absorbance of the two peaks changes by changing pH (or acidity function H_0 for strongly acid solutions).

The ionization constant is determined from a plot of a function of absorbance against H_0 . A value of 0.105 for the protonation constant is obtained.

INTRODUCTION

Thiourea (T.U.) is known as a corrosion inhibitor for many metals. It shows an interesting pattern of concentration dependence. At low concentration it shifts both the cathodic and anodic polarization curves to higher values with the consequence of decreasing the corrosion current I_{cor} [1]. At higher concentration (> 5 mM), TU reverses its effect and increases I_{cor} [2]. Several authors explained this peculiarity by the fact that TU is protonated in aqueous solutions according to [1] :



 $TU + H^+ \subset TUH^+$

Protonation of thiourea......

The protonated from is said to facilitate the hydrogen evolution reaction and accordingly increases the corrosion rate. A value of 0.1 for the protonation constant is given in the literature [3, 4] and used by many authors (see e.g. ref.5). Reference[3] lists the value of 0.1 based on kinetic methods. This paper reports on the determination of the protonation constant of TU using UV spectrophotometry. It was found necessary to supplement the already published value with another technique.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ultraviolet spectrophotometer model (PIN 204) Shimadzu (Kyoto - japan) was used. Thiourea specimens were used as received. Conductivity water was used to prepare the solutions of suphuric acid. The temperature was adjusted to 25+ 0.1°C. The UVspectrophotometer was adjusted at a slit width of 1.0 nm, and the wavelength scan range was 190 - 400 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ultraviolet spectra for solutions of 0.1 mM TU in various concentrations of H_2SO_4 were measured. Fig. (1) shows the UV spectra of three samples of these solutions (pure H_2O , 1.5 M and 4.5 M H_2SO_4). The spectra show two absorption peaks in the UV range: at 238 and 208 nm (at 1.5 M acid). The substance is supposed to be negligibly protonated in pure H_2O while it is nearly completely protonated at the highest concentration of the acid used (4.5 M H_2SO_4).

According to equation (1). The ionization constant K_a is expressed as

$$K_a = \frac{[TU] [H^+]}{[TUH^+]} (2)$$

where [TU], $[H^+]$ and $[TUH^+]$ refer to the concentrations of the molecular from, H^+ and the protonated from respectively.

The principle of the method is based on measuring the extinction coefficient (molar absorbtivity) as follows [3]:

$$pK_{a} = pH + \log \frac{\varepsilon_{I} - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{M}}$$
(3)

Where ε_I is the absorbtivity (extinction coefficient) of the ion at the analytical wavelength ε_M is the absorbtivity of the molecule at the same wavelength. and ε_N is the absorbtivity of the mixture of the ions and molecules at the same wavelength. ε changes with pH.

Provided that the same cell - thickness and concentrations are used, equation (3) may be written in terms of optical absorbance A instead of absorbtivity :

$$pK_a = pH + \log \frac{A_{max} - A}{A - A_{min}} (4)$$

Where A $_{max}$ is the maximum absorbance at 238 nm, A $_{min}$ is the same variable at minimum, and A is the value of the absorbance for ions and molecules.

For the determination of pK_a values of very weak bases, the acidity function H_0 replaces the ordinary pH. The H_0 scale is constructed by measuring the ratio

Protonation of thiourea......

(BH+/B) for an indicator of known pK_a value (e.g. p-nitroanaline) in solutions of increasing acidity [3].Replacing H₀ in equation (4):

$$pK_a = H_o + \log \frac{A_{max} - A}{A - A_{min}}$$
(5)

which is the equation used here for determination of pK_a of TU. This equation (5) predicts a straight line relationship between the second term and H_0 . Such a relationship should have a value of intercept at zero H_0 corresponding to pK_a .

Table	(1)	:	Ultraviolet	absorl	bance	for	0.1	mΜ	Tu	in	different
			concentrati	ons of	H_2SC) ₄ at	the	analy	tical	l w	avelength
			238 nm.		~	•					

$[H_2SO_4]$ (moles / L)	H ₀	А
0.000	-	1.28
0.375	+0.26	1.21
0.750	-0.07	1.15
1.000	-0.26	1.11
1.500	-0.56	1.00
2.500	-1.12	0.70
3.500	-1.62	0.43
4.500	-2.06	≈ 0.23

values are taken from reference (6).

In Table (1), values of the molar concentration, acidity function H_0 for H₂SO₄ are given together with the absorbance at 238 nm. H_0 is taken from reference[6]. Fig. (2) is the representation of equation (5) applied to the results obtained for TU in H₂SO₄. The value pK_a for TU can be deduced from Fig. (2) at a value of zero for the term log [A_{max} - A) / (A - A_{min})]. The value obtained here for pK_a of TU is -0.98. This corresponds to a value of 0.105 for the protonation constant of TU, which is in excellent agreement with the published value using kinetic methods[3].

Many authors explained the concentration behaviour of TU, as a corrosion inhibitor for iron and steel, as a result of its protonation (see e.g. ref.[1]). One argument against this approach lies in the fact that theiosemicarbazide, which is a more protonated TU drevative, does not, however, have concentration effect found with TU [7].

In another publication, we proposed a scheme for the concentration effect observed in the case of TU. It was found out that it decomposes in acid solution producing a small concentration of thiocyanate.



Actually CNS⁻ ions were detected and determined in acid solutions of TU using a simple spectrophotometric method (Fe3⁺ - Thiocyanate complex in the visible range at 456 nm) [7]. It was further proved that the addition of small concentrations of CNS⁻ (0.01 - 1 mM) to acid solutions of TU, increased the corrosion rate of mild





×.

M. W. Khalil

steel (relative to TU alone) [7]. It is feasible to assume that CNS⁻ ions have an antagonistic effect on the inhibiting action of TU probably as a result of a competitive surface adsorption process. A similar conclusion was found by Ammar *et al.* [8].

REFERENCES

- 1. K.C. Pillai and R. Narayan, J. Electrochem. Soc., 125 (1978): 1393.
- 2. I. A. Ammar and F. El Khorafi, Werkstoff U. Korrosion 24 (1973) 702
- 3. A. Albert, E.P. Serjeant, " Ionization constants", Metheun, London (1962) p.141

4. D. D. Perrin, IUPAC, Analytical chem. Division Suppl. (1972)

- 5. B. G. Ateya, B. E. El-Anadouli and F. M. A. El-Nizamy corros. Sci., 26 (1986) 419.
- 6. M. A. Paul and F. A. Long, Chem. Revs., 57 (1957) 1.
- W. Khalil, S. A. Abdou and I. A. Ammar Mat. Wiss. U. Werkstofftech., 21(1990) 230.

8. I. A. Ammar and S. Darwish, Corros. Sci. 7 (1967) 579.

Protonation of thiourea......

تقدير ثابت التانين لمركب الثيويوريا

محمد وحيد خليل

فى هذا البحث أستخدمت طريقة الأشعد الفوق بنفسيجيد فى تقدير ثابت التأين للثيويوريا. وقد وجد أن الطيف الفوق بنفسجى يحتوى على قمتين عند ٢٠٨و٢٣٨ للثيويوريا. وقد وجد أيضا أن الامتصاص الفوق بنفسجى للقمتين يتغير بتغير الحمضيد (أو دالة الحموضد H_0 فى حالة الاحماض القويد). وقد عين ثابت التأين من علاقة خطيد بين دالة الامتصاص و H_0 وكانت قيمة ثابت الهدرجد (وهو مقلوب ثابت التأمين) ٢٠٥.