# CHARCOAL ROT DISEASE OF CUCURBITACEOUS PLANTS

M. A. Awad, M. M. Ammar and A. A. Bazanboor

Agric. Botany Dept., Faculty of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Shibin El-Kom, Egypt.

(Received: Oct. 19, 2009)

ABSTRACT: Cucurbit plants are widely grown in Egypt. They can be grown in different seasons throughout the year round in open fields and in protected cultivations. Cucumber an cantaloupe are considered two of the major vegetable crops for local consumption and export. Macrophomina phaseolina is the most soil borne pathogen on cucumber and cantaloupe plants causing charcoal rot disease and reducing the fruit yield. Isolation and identification of the causal pathogen were done using samples from different cucurbits growing areas from nine governorates in Egypt, as well as biological control agents. 13 M. phaseolina isolates were used in pathogenicity tests and revealed as pathogenic to cucumber and cantaloupe plants. Soil solarization of pots infested by two isolates of the pathogen; aggressive to cucumber plants; and another two isolates; aggressive to cantaloupe plants; were done for physical control of charcoal rot disease under field conditions. There were significant differences between polyethylene sheet treatments and non-treated pots; black sheet was the best in decreasing all disease parameters and increasing numbers of survival plants. Calcium salts in both tested concentrations decreased disease parameters and increased survivals in both infested cucumber and cantaloupe plants. Antioxidants great affected charcoal rot disease in cantaloupe and cucumber plants especially when applied with high concentrations. Biological control agents were minimized all disease parameters and maximized survivals. Applied bioagents were varied in controlling the disease.

Key words: Charcoal rot, cucurbits, Macrophomina phaseolina, disease control.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cucurbitaccous plants i.e., *Cucumis* spp. (cucumber & melon), *Citrullus* spp. (watermelon) and *Cucurbits* spp. (squash & pumpkin) are widely grown in Eygpt. They can be grown in different seasons throughout the year round in Egypt, in open fields and in protected cultivations.

Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) and cantaloupe (Cucumis melo var. reticulates) are consider two of the major vegetable summer crops in commercial fields in Egypt. During the last few decades efforts were concentrated to grow these crops in protected system in greenhouses during autumn and winter seasons. The cultivated area of cucurbits is progressing

at a relatively fast rate, especially in newly reclaimed desert lands.

Several fungal diseases attack cucumber and cantaloupe during different growth stages causing considerable losses in fruit yield. Soil borne diseases are economically very important and responsible of losses in fruit yield due to diseases infection. Macrophomina phaseolina is the most common pathogen on cucumber an cantaloupe plants causing charcoal rot and reducing the fruit yield (Yang and Navi, 2003). Charcoal rot disease was recorded as collar rot on squash plants in Brazil (Rego, 1994). Symptoms of charcoal rot included a brown spot spread around the stem or slightly aboveground level besides black lesions on the secondary roots; on necrotic roots, when epidermis peeled off, pycnidia could be observed, spore and pycnidia were the characteristic of M. phaseolina (Grezes-Besset et al., 1996). M. phaseolina has a wide spread occurrence on many cultivars in their mature plants (Rego, 1994; Manici et al., 1995 and Suchandra et al., 2000). Many researchers reported about control of charcoal rot disease using different methods i.e., Lodha et al. (1997), Ahmed et al. (2000) and Ndiaye (2007) on soil solarization; Chang et al. (2007) on calcium salts; Khalifa (2003) and Abdou (2007) on antioxidants; Hussain et al. (1990), Ramakrishnan et al. (1994), Bandyoadhyaya and Cardwel (2002) and Ndiaye (2007) on biological control.

Therefore, this study was carried out to survey and frequent isolates of charcoal rot pathogen attacking cucumber and cantaloupe plants. Pathogenicity tests and evaluation of the common and commercial cultivars. Using physical control (soil solarization), biological control agents, calcium salts and antioxidants to control charcoal rot disease in cucumber and cantaloupe.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples of diseased cucumber and cantaloupe plants showed charcoal rot symptoms were collected from different cucurbits growing areas in Egypt in different growing dates in 2007 season from nine governorates. These samples were used in pathogen isolation. The obtained pure cultures of the causal organism were examined and identified at Agric. Bot., Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., according to the methods adopted by Barnett and Hunter (1972).

Isolation and identification of biological control agents from soil and rhizosphere of cucurbitceous fields were done according to Elad et al. (1982), Rifai (1969) and Bissett (1991).

Thirteen isolates of *M. phaseolina* were chosen for testing their virulence against the susceptible cultivars of cucumber "Beit Alpha" and cantaloupe "Ananas". Disease incidence was recorded as number and percentages of pre-emergence damping-off (2 weeks after sowing), post-emergence

damping-off (4 weeks after sowing) and number of survival plants (70 days after sowing). Charcoal rot disease severity index was estimated at 70 days from planting according to Soliman et al. (1988), modified by Awad (2004).

Control of charcoal rot disease of cucurbits was done using fungal inocula of 4 selective *M. phaseolina* isolates i.e., 3, 4, 10 & 13 and different methods of control.

1. Soil solarization was carried out in black plastic pots under field conditions. Four polyethylene sheets were used i.e., transparent, red, green and black sheets. Inoculated pots were covered with one sort of plastic sheet and exposed to daily sunlight for one month (15 May to 15 June, 2007) and irrigated as usual during this period then, plastic sheets removed and cucumber and / or cantaloupe seeds were seeded in treated pots and disease date were estimate as usual.

#### 2. Chemical control:

- a) Four calcium salts i.e., sulphate, chloride, phosphate and carbonate were applied for controlling charcoal rot disease incidence in pots under greenhouse conditions. 200 and 400 ppm solutions were treated as soil drenches individually as irrigation treatment every 15 days intervals.
- b) Antioxidants: ascorbic acid, hydroquinone, salicylic acid, sodium benzoate and ethylene diamine antioxidants at 12.5, 25, 50, 100 & 200 ppm were used for controlling the charcoal rot disease on cucumber and cantaloupe. Soil drenching with antioxidants solutions at different concentrations 2 weeks intervals.

## 3. Biological control:

Ten biological control agents that used in these experiments were 10 isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. i.e., *T. harzianum* ( $Tz_1$  to  $Tz_6$ ), *T. ressei* (Tr), *T. viride* (Tv) and *T. hamatum* ( $Tm_1$  &  $Tm_2$ ) against the same mentioned four pathogen isolates under greenhouse conditions. Inocula of bioagents were individually mixed thoroughly with sterilized field loamy soil at the rate of 3% of soil weight, the watered and left for one week for bioagents spread in pots soil, then inoculated with pathogen isolate individually and watered. Two days after seeds were sowed and disease parameters were calculated and recorded.

## 4. Statistical analysis:

All data obtained were subjected to the proper statistical analysis for each experiment using the Duncan's statistical software. Comparisons were made following Fishers LSD (0.05).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data illustrated in Table (1) indicated that significant differences were noticed between all tested isolates in disease parameters on both tested cultivars as compared with control treatment. Pre emergence damping-off was recorded highly significant values within cucumber "Beit alpha" genotype by isolates No. 9 followed by 7 & 10 and cantaloupe tested genotypes "Ananas" by isolates No. 5 and 10. Post-emergence on cantaloupe genotype was recorded the higher value by isolates 9 & 13, while it was recorded by isolates 3 & 6 on cucumber genotype. Disease severity index (DI) was recorded as highly significant values on cucumber by isolates 3 & 4, whereas by isolates 10 & 13 on cantaloupe genotype. These results confirmed those obtained by Baudry and Morzieres (1993), Mertely et al. (2005) and Zveibil and Freeman (2005).

Table (1). Pathogenicity of thirteen isolates of Macrophomina phasolina on charcoal rot incidence of Cantaloupe cv. Ananas and Cucumber cv. Beit Alpha under greenhouse conditions.

		Canta	loupe			Cucı	ımber	
Isolate No.	Disease I	Parameter	s %	S.P %	Diseas	se Parame	eters %	S.P %
1101	Pre	Post	D.I	3.F 76	Pre	Post	D.I	3.F %
1	15.78 <sup>b</sup>	31.57 <sup>ab</sup>	89.47 <sup>b</sup>	47.36 <sup>a</sup>	41.17 <sup>a</sup>	11.76 <sup>a</sup>	82.35 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>
2	47.36 <sup>a</sup>	15.78 <sup>a</sup>	92.10 <sup>a</sup>	36.84 <sup>a</sup>	35.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	83.82 <sup>b</sup>	58.82 <sup>a</sup>
3	31.57 <sup>a</sup>	15.78 <sup>a</sup>	82.89 <sup>b</sup>	52.63 <sup>a</sup>	41.17 <sup>a</sup>	35.29 <sup>a</sup>	95.58 <sup>a</sup>	29.40 <sup>a</sup>
4	36.83 <sup>ab</sup>	5.26 <sup>b</sup>	61.84 <sup>b</sup>	57.89 <sup>a</sup>	35.28 <sup>ab</sup>	11.76 <sup>b</sup>	98.52 <sup>a</sup>	58.82 <sup>a</sup>
5	57.89 <sup>a</sup>	5.26 <sup>b</sup>	86.84 <sup>b</sup>	36.83 <sup>ab</sup>	41.17 <sup>a</sup>	17.64 <sup>a</sup>	92.64 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>
6	36.84 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	78.94 <sup>b</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	41.17 <sup>a</sup>	35.29 <sup>a</sup>	72.05 <sup>b</sup>	29.40 <sup>a</sup>
7	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	15.78 <sup>a</sup>	90.78 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	58.81 <sup>a</sup>	17.64 <sup>b</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>	29.40 <sup>ab</sup>
8	10.52 <sup>b</sup>	15.78 <sup>b</sup>	59.21 <sup>b</sup>	68.41 <sup>a</sup>	52.93 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	89.70 <sup>a</sup>	52.93 <sup>a</sup>
9	21.05 <sup>b</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	84.21 <sup>b</sup>	36.83 <sup>a</sup>	64.69 <sup>a</sup>	11.76 <sup>b</sup>	91.17 <sup>a</sup>	29.40 <sup>b</sup>
10	57.89 <sup>a</sup>	26.31 <sup>ab</sup>	94.73 <sup>a</sup>	10.52 <sup>b</sup>	58.81 <sup>a</sup>	5.88 <sup>b</sup>	86.76 <sup>a</sup>	35.28 <sup>ab</sup>
11	31.57 <sup>ab</sup>	10.52 <sup>b</sup>	88.15 <sup>b</sup>	57.89 <sup>a</sup>	29.40 <sup>b</sup>	5.88 <sup>b</sup>	77.94 <sup>b</sup>	70.58 <sup>a</sup>
12	26.31 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>ab</sup>	93.42 <sup>a</sup>	52.63 <sup>a</sup>	29.40 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	75.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>
13	31.57 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	97.36 <sup>a</sup>	26.31 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	85.29 <sup>a</sup>	58.81 <sup>a</sup>
Mean	32.22	20.64	84.61	43.71	44.33	13.57	84.72	45.69
Control	0.00	0.00	10.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	100.00

Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping off.

D. I. = Disease index.

Post = Post-emergence damping off. S.P = Survival Plants.

Data in Table (2) indicated that covering of inoculated pots decreased all disease parameters in comparing to control (non-covered) treatment. The least pre-emergence damping-off was recorded by covering inoculated pots with black sheets in case of isolate 3 against cucumber plants, while this treatment was recorded the higher percentage of post-emergence. Noticeable differences were noticed between covered treatments and non covered control. The least disease index value was recorded by black sheet, followed by transplant sheet in comparing to control.

The highest survival plants number was recorded by covering with black sheet incase of isolate 3. Isolate (4) of *M. phaseolina* was strongly affected by soil solarization and the disease parameters were recorded on cucumber plants at the same trend of isolate (3) as shown in Table (2).

Table (2). Effect of soil solarization on charcoal rot incidence of cucumber genotype Beit alpha incited by two aggressive isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

Treatments	Fungal isolates										
		Isola	te (3)			Isola	ite (4)				
	Diseas	e parame	eters %	S.P %	Disea	S.P					
	Pre	Post	D.I	/0	Pre	Post	D.I	%			
Transparent sheet	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	68.43 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	23.51 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	71.50 <sup>a</sup>	31.37°			
Red sheet	13.05 <sup>bc</sup>	22.28 <sup>ab</sup>	69.12 <sup>a</sup>	4.17 <sup>b</sup>	23.03 <sup>a</sup>	14.38 <sup>b</sup>	68.12 <sup>a</sup>	35.20°			
Green sheet	19.66 <sup>b</sup>	26.96 <sup>ab</sup>	70.28 <sup>a</sup>	52.24 <sup>ab</sup>	26.12 <sup>a</sup>	16.28 <sup>b</sup>	63.66 <sup>ab</sup>	48.66 <sup>b</sup>			
Black sheet	7.84 <sup>c</sup>	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	60.58 <sup>b</sup>	62.74 <sup>a</sup>	7.84 <sup>b</sup>	15.68°	58.82 <sup>b</sup>	86.27 <sup>a</sup>			
Mean	17.98	260.3	67.10	51.55	20.12	23.34	65.52	50.37			
Control (Non-covered)	15.68	47.05	94.10	39.21	31.37	39.21	92.15	23.52			
P value (sig.)	0.101	0.643	0.073	0.374	0.116	0.116	0.145	0.069			

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

(2) P value (sig) [ ≤ 0.05\*, 0.01\*\* and 0.001\*\*\*] between:

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping-off Sheets = 0.744
Post = Post-emergence damping-off. Isolates = 0.813
D.I. = Disease index. Sheets × Isolates = 0.938

S.P = Survival Plants.

Data in Table (3) recorded the effect of soil solarization on charcoal rat incidence on cantaloupe plants "Ananas" genotype incited by two aggressive isolates of *M. phaseolina* (10 & 13) under greenhouse conditions. Soil solarization great affected disease parameters on cantaloupe plants infested with both pathogen isolates. There were significant differences between polyethylene sheet treatments and non-covered treatment. Black sheet was the best treatment in decreasing pre-, post-emergence and Dl. Also, survival plants were at higher level in black sheet treatment (91.22%). Similar results were reported by (Ndiaye, 2007).

Table (3). Effect of soil solarization on charcoal rot incidence of cantaloupe genotype Ananas incited by two aggressive isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

macropromise praccoma unidor grotimosos contantonos											
		Fungal isolates									
Treatments		Isolat	te (10)			Isolat	e (13)				
	Diseas	se parame	eters %	0.5%	Diseas						
	Pre	Post	D. I	S.P %	Pre	Post	D. I	S.P %			
Transparent sheet	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	56.14 <sup>a</sup>	61.22 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>b</sup>	7.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.00°	42.42 <sup>a</sup>	91.22 <sup>a</sup>			
Red sheet	15.34 <sup>a</sup>	12.14 <sup>bc</sup>	64.36 <sup>ab</sup>	19.28 <sup>b</sup>	10.03 <sup>a</sup>	6.08 <sup>b</sup>	42.33 <sup>a</sup>	77.38 <sup>b</sup>			
Green sheet	16.28ª	20.22 <sup>b</sup>	73.03 <sup>a</sup>	18.23 <sup>b</sup>	14.82ª	12.23ª	40.43 <sup>a</sup>	73.22 <sup>b</sup>			
Black Sheet	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.00°	35.08 <sup>b</sup>	91.22ª	0.00°	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	37.10 <sup>ab</sup>	94.22 <sup>a</sup>			
Mean	14.92	22.12	58.42	37.44	7.96	8.08	40.57	84.01			
Control(Non-covered)	21.05	49.12	94.73	35.08	28.07	35.00	96.49	42.10			
P value (sig)	1.00	0.039	0.062	0.019	0.374	0.374	0.452	0.678			

(1) Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

(2) P value (sig) [  $\leq 0.05^*$ ,  $0.01^{**}$  and  $0.001^{***}$ ] between:

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping-off Sheets = 0.852
Post = Post-emergence damping-off. Isolates = 0.945
D.I. = Disease index. Sheets × Isolates = 0.674

S.P = Survival Plants.

The four calcium salts in both tested concentrations decreased disease parameters that decreased insignificantly in comparing to control plants (infested) on cucumber plants that infested by both aggressive *M. phaseolina* isolates 3 & 4. Significant differences were noticed between all treatments and control in case of isolate 4 (Table 4).

#### Charcoal rot disease of cucurbitaceous plants

Table (4). Effect of four calcium salts on charcoal rot incidence of cucumber genotype Beit Alpha incited by two aggressive isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

			Fungal isolates									
Calcium Salts			Isolat	e (3)		Isolate (4)						
	Concentration (PPM)	Disease parameters %			S.P %	Disease Parameters %			S.P %			
		Pre	Post	D. I	70	Pre	Post	D. I	76			
Calcium Sulphate	200	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>a</sup>	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	79.53ª	78.43 <sup>a</sup>			
	400	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	70.58ª	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	31.37ª	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	39.21°			
Calcium	200	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	15.68ª	71.92 <sup>a</sup>	70.58ª	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	64.91 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>			
Chloride	400	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	75.43 <sup>a</sup>	62.74ª	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	39.21 <sup>a</sup>	65.49 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>			
Calcium	200	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	15.68ª	72.51 <sup>a</sup>	86.27ª	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	74.85 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>			
Phosphate	400	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	65.49 <sup>a</sup>	54.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.68ª	49.67ª	94.11ª			
Calcium	200	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	82.45 <sup>a</sup>	62.74 <sup>a</sup>	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	31.37 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>			
Carbonate	400	15.68 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>	62.74ª	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	39.21 <sup>a</sup>	65.49 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>			
Mean		13.72	23.52	71.41	67.63	10.78	29.40	67.36	64.69			
Control (infested)		23.52	47.05	92.15	23.52	31.37	54.90	94.11	7.84			
P value (sig)		0.965	0.989	0.934	0.828	0.905	0.769	0.613	0.002			

(1) Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test (*P* ≤ 0.05).

(2) *P* value (sig) [ ≤ 0.05\*, 0.01\*\* and 0.001\*\*\*] between:

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping off. Isolates = 0.723 Post = Post-emergence damping off. Calcium salts = 0.973

D.I. = Disease index. Isolate × Calcium salts = 0.971

S.P = Survival Plants.

Data in Table (5) indicated that on cantaloupe plants that infested with both aggressive *M. phaseolina* isolates (10 & 13), calcium salts decreased disease parameters and increased number of survival plants in comparing control plants (infested only). Significant differences were noticed between calcium treatments and control in DI in case of isolate 13, and in number of survival plants under stress of both isolates 10 & 13. the obtained results are confirmed those obtained by EI-Bana *et al.* (2006) and Chang *et al.* (2007).

Table (5). Effect of four calcium salts on charcoal rot incidence of cantaloupe genotype Ananas incited by two aggressive isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

macrophomia pridocenta artaci greentado contantener											
				ı	Fungal is	olates					
Calcium Salts			Isolat	e (10)	Isolate (13)						
	Concentration (PPM)	Diseas	e parame	eters %	S.P %	Disea	S.P				
		Pre	Post	D. I		Pre	Post	D. I	%		
Calcium	200	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	88.88 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>bc</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	84.21 <sup>b</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>		
Sulphate	400	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	88.88 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>bc</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>a</sup>	66.66 <sup>c</sup>	56.14 <sup>a</sup>		
Calcium	200	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	86.54 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>ab</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>a</sup>	86.54 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>		
Chloride	400	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>a</sup>	91.22 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>c</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	95.90 <sup>a</sup>	56.14 <sup>a</sup>		
Calcium	200	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>a</sup>	79.53 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	86.54 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>		
Phosphate	400	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	56.14 <sup>b</sup>	84.21 <sup>ab</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>a</sup>	84.21 <sup>b</sup>	56.14 <sup>a</sup>		
Calcium	200	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>a</sup>	74.85 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	86.54 <sup>b</sup>	84.21 <sup>a</sup>		
Carbonate	400	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>a</sup>	87.71 <sup>a</sup>	49.12 <sup>bc</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>c</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>		
Mean		14.91	34.20	81.71	55.25	11.40	33.33	81.71	60.52		
Control (infested)		42.10	39.08	98.24	21.05	28.07	56.07	91.22	7.01		
P value (sig)		0.478	0.604	0.02	0.017	0.715	0.499	0.000	0.681		

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

(2) P value (sig) [ ≤ 0.05\*, 0.01\*\* and 0.001\*\*\*] between:

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping- off. Isolates = 0.93 Post = Post-emergence damping - off. Calcium salts = 0.98

D.I. = Disease index. Isolate × Calcium salts = 0.951

S.P = Survival Plants.

Soil drenching with antioxidants solutions to pots filled with soil infested with isolates 3 & 4 and planted with Beit alpha cucumber plants were effective in disease parameters as compared to control plants. Isolate 3 lost their efficiency to infect cucumber plants as pre & post-emergence in cases of all antioxidants at higher concentrations except ascorbic acid. Significant differences were noticed between all antioxidant treatments in pre-and post-emergence in case of isolate 4 on cucumber plants. DI was recorded significant differences between all antioxidant treatments in both isolates 3 & 4. Also, significant differences were noticed between all antioxidant treatments in number of survival plants and these numbers were increased significantly (Table 6).

#### Charcoal rot disease of cucurbitaceous plants

Table (6). Control of charcoal rot disease on cucumber plants genotype Beit Alpha with antioxidants by soil drenching under greenhouse conditions.

	with anti-		.,			al isolates			
Antioxida	Concent-		Isola	ite (3)	9		Isola	te (4)	
nts	rations	Diseas	se param			Disea	se Parame		
	(ppm)	Pre	Post	D.I	S.P %	Pre	Post	D.I	S.P %
	12.5	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
	25	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	88.23 <sup>abc</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
Ascorbic	50	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	86.27 <sup>abc</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	75.76 <sup>bc</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
acid	100	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	82.35 <sup>abcd</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	70.58 <sup>cd</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
	200	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	75.76 <sup>de</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	12.5	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
	25	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.00b	70.58 <sup>cd</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
Hydroqui-	50	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	82.35 <sup>abcd</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	82.35 <sup>ab</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
none	100	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	58.82 <sup>e</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	200	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>g</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>h</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	12.5	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>
	25	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	90.38 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	86.27 <sup>ab</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
Salicylic acid	50	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>de</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	70.58 <sup>cd</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
aciu	100	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	54.90 <sup>f</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	35.29 <sup>g</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	200	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>h</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	12.5	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	86.27 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>
	25	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	62.74 <sup>de</sup>	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>
Sodium benzoate	50	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	70.58 <sup>de</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	82.35 <sup>ab</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
Delizoate	100	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
	200	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>g</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>h</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>
	12.5	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	88.23 <sup>abc</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
<b>-</b>	25	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	82.35 <sup>abcd</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
Ethylene diamine	50	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.52 <sup>a</sup>	75.76 <sup>dc</sup>	70.58 <sup>ab</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	47.05 <sup>a</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>c</sup>
diamino	100	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	58.82 <sup>ef</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	70.58 <sup>cd</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
	200	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	94.11 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.00</b> <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	47.05 <sup>f</sup>	70.58 <sup>b</sup>
Mean		12.23	18.81	72.29	62.11	16.93	14.11	68.90	60.22
Control – (ir	nfested)	23.52	47.05	92.15	23.52	31.37	54.90	94.11	7.84
P value (sig	)	0.007	0.110	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.117	0.000	0.000

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test (P ≤ 0.05).

(2) P value (sig) [≤ 0.05\*, 0.01\*\*and 0.001\*\*\*] between: Isolates = 0.405 Concentrations = 0.00 Isolate × concentration = 1.00

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping-off. Post = Post-emergence damping-off.

D.I. = Disease index. S.P = Survival Plants.

Data in Table (7) indicated that antioxidants greatly reduced charcoal rot disease in cantaloupe plants that infested with two aggressive isolates 10 & 13. Significant differences were noticed between all tested antioxidants with their applied concentrations on disease parameters i.e., pre-, post-emergence and disease severity index (DI). These disease parameters were decreased significantly as well as increasing significantly of number of survival plants in comparing to control plants as shown in Table (7). These results are inacordance with results obtained by Galal et al. (2003), Abdou et al. (2004) and Abdel-Rahim (2007).

Table (7). Control of charcoal rot disease on cantaloupe plants genotype Ananas with antioxidants by soil drenching under greenhouse conditions.

	0				Fungal	isolates			
Antiovidanto	Concent-		Isola	te (10)			Isolat	e (13)	
Antioxidants	rations (ppm)	Diseas	se parame	ters %	S.P %	Diseas	se Parame	ters %	S.P %
	(ppiii)	Pre	Post	D.I		Pre	Post	D.I	
	12.5	28.07 <sup>abcd</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>g</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	95.90 <sup>ab</sup>	14.03 <sup>de</sup>
Ascorbic acid	25	28.07 <sup>abcd</sup>	14.03 <sup>cd</sup>	94.73 <sup>ab</sup>	63.15 <sup>bcdef</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	89.47 <sup>abc</sup>	14.03 <sup>de</sup>
	50	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	14.03 <sup>cd</sup>	63.15 <sup>ef</sup>	91.22 <sup>ab</sup>	14.03 <sup>def</sup>	35.08 <sup>abc</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>	56.14 <sup>abc</sup>
	100	7.01 <sup>de</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	86.54 <sup>abcd</sup>	77.19 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	95.90 <sup>ab</sup>	42.10 <sup>bcd</sup>
	200	7.01 <sup>de</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	60.8 <sup>1f</sup>	77.19 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	7.01 <sup>c</sup>	87.71 <sup>abc</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>
	12.5	21.05 <sup>cde</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	78.94 <sup>d</sup>	35.08 <sup>fg</sup>	28.07 <sup>bcdef</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	84.21 <sup>bc</sup>	7.01 <sup>e</sup>
Hydroqui- none	25	7.01 <sup>de</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	77.19 <sup>d</sup>	49.12 <sup>defg</sup>	42.10 <sup>abcd</sup>	21.05 <sup>abc</sup>	87.71 <sup>abc</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>
	50	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	14.03 <sup>cd</sup>	63.15 <sup>ef</sup>	84.21 <sup>abc</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	84.21 <sup>bc</sup>	56.14 <sup>abc</sup>
	100	7.01 <sup>de</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	59.64 <sup>f</sup>	63.15 <sup>bcdef</sup>	7.01 <sup>ef</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	63.15 <sup>d</sup>	84.21 <sup>a</sup>
	200	14.03 <sup>cde</sup>	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	52.63 <sup>fg</sup>	84.21 <sup>abc</sup>	21.05 <sup>cdef</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	52.63 <sup>e</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>
	12.5	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	7.01 <sup>cd</sup>	88.88 <sup>abcd</sup>	56.14 <sup>cdef</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	92.98 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>de</sup>
Salicylic	25	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	81.87 <sup>d</sup>	21.05 <sup>g</sup>	14.03 <sup>def</sup>	35.08 <sup>abc</sup>	79.53 <sup>c</sup>	42.10 <sup>bcd</sup>
Salicylic acid	50	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	7.10 <sup>cd</sup>	51.46 <sup>fg</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>	56.14 <sup>ab</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	95.90 <sup>ab</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>
aciu	100	21.05 <sup>bcde</sup>	7.01 <sup>cd</sup>	59.64 <sup>f</sup>	70.17 <sup>abcde</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	91.22 <sup>abc</sup>	21.05 <sup>de</sup>
	200	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	60.81 <sup>f</sup>	84.21 <sup>abc</sup>	7.01 <sup>ef</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	45.61 <sup>e</sup>	84.21 <sup>a</sup>
	12.5	28.07 <sup>abcd</sup>	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	87.71 abcd	21.05 <sup>g</sup>	21.05 <sup>cdef</sup>	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	89.47 <sup>abc</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>
Sodium	25	21.05 <sup>bcde</sup>	14.03 <sup>cd</sup>	79.53 <sup>cd</sup>	56.14 <sup>cdef</sup>	42.10 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.08 <sup>abc</sup>	94.73 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>de</sup>
benzoate	50	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	77.19 <sup>d</sup>	63.15 <sup>bcdef</sup>	28.07 <sup>bcdef</sup>	35.08 <sup>abc</sup>	79.53 <sup>c</sup>	12.05 <sup>de</sup>
Delizoate	100	42.10 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	77.19 <sup>d</sup>	56.14 <sup>cdef</sup>	7.01 <sup>ef</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	67.83 <sup>d</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>
	200	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	88.88 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.08 <sup>fg</sup>	0.00 <sup>t</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	66.66 <sup>d</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>
	12.5	7.01 <sup>de</sup>	21.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	75.43 <sup>abcd</sup>	63.15 <sup>bcdef</sup>	49.12 <sup>abc</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	94.73 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>de</sup>
Ethylene	25	28.07 <sup>abcd</sup>	49.12 <sup>a</sup>	92.98 <sup>abc</sup>	21.05 <sup>g</sup>	35.08 <sup>bcde</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	91.22 <sup>abc</sup>	56.14 <sup>abc</sup>
diamine	50	35.08 <sup>abcd</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>	42.10 <sup>efg</sup>	28.07 <sup>bcdef</sup>	14.03 <sup>bc</sup>	91.22 <sup>abc</sup>	56.14 <sup>abc</sup>
diamine	100	0.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	42.10 <sup>g</sup>	91.22 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>cdef</sup>	35.08 <sup>abc</sup>	78.94 <sup>c</sup>	28.07 <sup>cde</sup>
	200	21.05 <sup>bcde</sup>	28.07 <sup>abc</sup>	93.56 <sup>ab</sup>	56.14 <sup>cdef</sup>	28.07 <sup>bcdef</sup>	42.10ab	87.71 <sup>abc</sup>	21.05 <sup>de</sup>
		18.24	20.48	75.61	59.22	30.03	26.94	83.45	40.97
Control – (inf	ested)	42.10	35.08	98.24	21.05	28.07	56.07	91.22	7.01
P value (sig)		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.000

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

(2) P value (sig) [ ≤ 0.05\*, 0.01\*\*and 0.001\*\*\*] between: Isolates = 0.70Concentrations = 0.25 Isolate × concentration = 0.625 Pre = Pre-emergence damping-off. Post = Post-emergence damping-off. Kev:

D.I. = Disease index. S.P = Survival Plants. Biological control agents great affected and minimized all disease parameters under stress of the four *M. phaseolina* isolates (3, 4, 10 & 13) under greenhouse conditions. Pre-, post-emergence damping-off as well as disease severity index (DI) were significant minimized by bioagents against stress of pathogen isolates in comparing to control treatments. Significant differences were noticed between all bioagents in DI under stress of isolate 3 & 4. Survival plants were increased significantly in case of isolate 4 on cucumber plants. All disease parameters were significantly affected by bioagents under stress of isolates 10 & 13 on cantaloupe plants. Generally, all *Trichoderma* spp. Isolates significantly affected the charcoal rot disease incidence in terms of the number of healthy survivals and infected plants. (Tables 8 and 9) These results were confirmed results obtained by many investigators i.e., Bandyoadhyaya dn Cardwel (2002), Adekunle *et al.* (2005) and Ndiaye (2007).

Table (8). Effect of some *Trichoderma* spp. isolates on charcoal rot disease of cucumber genotype Beit Alpha incited by two isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

macrophomia phaseoma under greenhouse conditions.												
				M . phase	eolina isolat	е						
Trichoderma		Isola	ate ( 3)		Isolate (4)							
spp. isolate	Diseas	se parame	ters %	S.P %	Disea	se paramet	ers %	S.P %				
	Pre	Post	D.I		Pre	Post	D.I					
T. harzianum (1)	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	74.85 <sup>abc</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>b</sup>	86.54 <sup>ab</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. harzianum (2)	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	56.17 <sup>cd</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>bc</sup>	84.21 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. harzianum (3)	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	49.12 <sup>de</sup>	84.21 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>b</sup>	67.83 <sup>bc</sup>	56.14 <sup>b</sup>				
T. harzianum (4)	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>bcd</sup>	77.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>bc</sup>	70.17 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. harzianum (5)	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>e</sup>	84.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>b</sup>	42.10 <sup>c</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>				
T. harzianum (6)	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	59.64 <sup>bcd</sup>	77.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>bc</sup>	77.19 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. hamatum (1)	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>abc</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>b</sup>	53.80 <sup>bc</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. hamatum (2)	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	46.78 <sup>de</sup>	77.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>b</sup>	63.15 <sup>bc</sup>	77.19 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. viride	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	28.07 <sup>a</sup>	88.88 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	35.08 <sup>b</sup>	98.24 <sup>a</sup>	63.15 <sup>ab</sup>				
T. ressei	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	77.19 <sup>ab</sup>	63.15 <sup>a</sup>	21.05 <sup>a</sup>	70.17 <sup>a</sup>	88.88 <sup>ab</sup>	21.05 <sup>c</sup>				
Mean	12.63	17.54	62.10	73.67	9.82	27.36	68.99	67.36				
Control	23.52	47.05	92.15	23.52	31.37	54.90	94.11	7.84				
P value (sig)	0.788	0.835	0.000	0.817	0.910	0.047	0.066	0.007				

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test (*P* ≤ 0.05).

Key: Pre = Pre- emergence damping-off

Post = Post- emergence damping-off.

M. phaseolina isolates = 0.395

Trichoderma spp. isolates = 0.170

D.I. = Disease index. *M. phaseolina* isolates × *Trichoderma* spp. isolates= 0.997

S.P = Survival Plants.

<sup>(2)</sup> P value (sig) [  $\leq$  0.05\*, 0.01\*\* and 0.001\*\*\*] between:

Table (9). Effect of some *Trichoderma* spp. isolates on charcoal rot disease of cantaloupe genotype Ananas (USA) incited by two isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* under greenhouse conditions.

	оро	-			olina isolat	e				
Trichoderma		Isola	te (10)		Isolate (13)					
spp. isolate	Diseas	se parame	ters %	C D 0/	Disea	C D 0/				
	Pre	Post	D.I	S.P %	Pre	Post	D.I	S.P %		
T. harzianum (1)	30.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.00 <sup>bc</sup>	67.50 <sup>ab</sup>	55.00 <sup>cd</sup>	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	10.00 <sup>ab</sup>	50.00 <sup>bc</sup>	75.00 <sup>a</sup>		
T. harzianum (2)	25.00 <sup>ab</sup>	10.00 <sup>bc</sup>	57.50 <sup>bc</sup>	65.00 <sup>c</sup>	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	35.00 <sup>c</sup>	85.00 <sup>a</sup>		
T. harzianum (3)	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	10.00 <sup>bc</sup>	25.00 <sup>cd</sup>	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>	20.00 <sup>a</sup>	25.00 <sup>ab</sup>	75.33 <sup>ab</sup>	55.00 <sup>abc</sup>		
T. harzianum (4)	25.00 <sup>ab</sup>	5.00 <sup>c</sup>	60.00 <sup>bc</sup>	70.00 <sup>bc</sup>	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	20.00 <sup>ab</sup>	52.50 <sup>bc</sup>	65.00 <sup>abc</sup>		
T. harzianum (5)	15.00 <sup>abc</sup>	10.00 <sup>bc</sup>	35.00 <sup>cd</sup>	75.00 <sup>abc</sup>	25.00 <sup>a</sup>	20.00 <sup>ab</sup>	81.25 <sup>ab</sup>	55.00 <sup>abc</sup>		
T. harzianum (6)	15.00 <sup>abc</sup>	10.00 <sup>bc</sup>	45.00 <sup>bc</sup>	75.00 <sup>abc</sup>	25.00 <sup>a</sup>	35.00 <sup>a</sup>	58.75 <sup>abc</sup>	40.00 <sup>bc</sup>		
T. hamatum (1)	30.00 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 <sup>ab</sup>	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	40.00 <sup>d</sup>	35.00 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 <sup>a</sup>	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	35.00 <sup>c</sup>		
T. hamatum (2)	20.00 <sup>abc</sup>	40.00 <sup>a</sup>	88.75 <sup>a</sup>	40.00 <sup>d</sup>	25.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.00 <sup>ab</sup>	70.00 <sup>abc</sup>	60.00 <sup>abc</sup>		
T. viride	20.00 <sup>abc</sup>	20.00 <sup>abc</sup>	67.50 <sup>ab</sup>	60.00 <sup>cd</sup>	20.00 <sup>a</sup>	10.00 <sup>ab</sup>	71.66 <sup>abc</sup>	70.00 <sup>ab</sup>		
T. ressei	5.00b <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	15.00 <sup>d</sup>	95.00 <sup>a</sup>	25.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.00 <sup>ab</sup>	66.66 <sup>abc</sup>	60.00 <sup>abc</sup>		
Mean	18.50	15.00	65.11	66.11	22.00	18.00	55.99	60.00		
Control	42.10	35.08	98.24	21.05	28.07	56.07	91.22	7.01		
P value (sig)	0.026	0.023	0.063	0.000	0.925	0.210	0.00	0.021		

<sup>(1)</sup> Within columns, means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly by Duncan's multiple range test (*P* ≤ 0.05).

Key: Pre = Pre-emergence damping-off

Post = Post- emergence damping-off.

D.I. = Disease index. M. phaseolina isolates = 0.243

S.P = Survival Plants.

M. phaseolina isolates = 0.243

Trichoderma spp. isolates = 0.043

S.P = Survival Plants.

#### **REFERENCES**

Abdel-Rahim, Lamis M. (2007). Intergraded control of white rot (Sclerotinia Rot) on cucumber in greenhouse. M.Sc. Thesis (Agic. Science) plant pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University, p. 145.

Abdou, El-S., H. M. Abd Alla, Hanaa M. M. Hassan and A. A. Galal (2004). Effect of salicylic acid and yeast seed treatments on root rot/wilt diseases of sesame. Assiut J. Agric Sci., 35 (2): 29 – 42.

Abdou, Mary W. W. (2007). Squash fugal root-rot and its control. M.Sc. Thesis (Agic. Science) plant pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University, p. 162.

Adekunle, A.T. T. Ikotun, D. A. Florini and K. F. Cardwell (2005). Field

<sup>(2)</sup> P value (sig) [  $\leq 0.05^*$ ,  $0.01^{**}$  and  $0.001^{***}$ ] between:

- evaluation of selected formulations of Trichoderma species as seed treatment to control damping off of cowpea caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Department of Crop Protection and Environmental Biology, University of Ibadan, p. 1.
- Ahmed, Hoda A. M., K. M. H. Abd El-Moneem, A. D. Allam and F. G. M. Fahmy (2000). Biological control of root-rots and wilt diseases of cotton. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 31 (2): 269 286.
- Awad, H. M. (2004). Studies on root-rot disease of pea. M.Sc. Thesis in Agric. Science (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Minufiya Univ., p. 172.
- Bandyopadhyaya, R. and K. F. Cardwell (2002). Species of *Trichoderma* and *Aspergillus* as biological control agents against plant diseases in Africa. Biological control in IPM systems in Africa, pp. 193 206.
- Barnett, H. L. and B. B. Hunter (1972). Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. Minnesota Burges Publ. Co., p. 241.
- Baudry, A. and J. P. Morzieres (1993). First report of charcoal rot of strawberry in France. Acta Horticulture (1993), pp. 348 488.
- Bissett, J. (1991). A revision of the genus trichoderma. II. Inferagenetic classification. Can. J. Bot., 69: 2357 2372.
- Chang, D. C., C. S. Park, J. G. Lee, J. C. Jeong and S. Y. Kim (2007). Effect of preplant calcium applications on calcium concentrations, yield and incidence of internal brown spot in potatoes cv. Atlantic grown under greenhouse conditions. Acta Hort. (ISHS), 761: 449 455.
- Elad, Y., A. Kalfon and I. Chet (1982). Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* in cotton by seed coating with *Trichoderma* spores. Plant and Soil, 66: 279 282.
- El-Bana, A. A.; Hassan, H. M. M.; Abdou, E. S. and Galal, A. A. (2006). Effect of calcium salts on growth sclerotia and infectivity of *Sclerotinia Sclerotioum*. Dept. Plant pathology, Fac. Agric., Minia University, Minia, Egypt.
- Galal, A. A., E. Abdou, H. M. Abd Alla and H. M. M. Hassan (2003). Infectivity of pseudomonas syringae Pv. Lachrymans to cucumber as affected by gibberellic and / or salicylic acid. Plant Pathol. Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia University, Minia, Egypt.
- Grezes-Besset, B., N. Lucante, V. Kelechian, R. Dargent and H. Muller (1996). Evaluation of castor bean resistance to sclerotial wilt disease caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Plant Dis., 80: 842 846.
- Hussain, S., A. Ghaffar and M. Aslam (1990). Biological control of *Macrophomina phaseolina*, Charcoal rot of sunflower and mungbean. J. Phytopathology, 130: 157 160.
- KHalifa, M. M. (2003). Phytopathological studies on charcoal rot disease of sesame. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Benha Branch, p. 236.
- Lodha, S., S. K. Sharma and R. K. Aggarwal (1997). Soil solarization and

- natural heating of irrigated soil amended with cruciferous residues for improved control of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Plant Pathology Lab., Central Arid Zone Res. Inst., lodhpur, India, pp. 186 197.
- Manici, L. M., F. Caputo and C. Cerato (1995). Temperature responses of isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* from different climatic regions of sunflower production in Italy. Plant Dis., 79: 834 838.
- Mertely, J., T. Seijo and N. Peres (2005). First report of *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing a crown rot of strawberry in Florida. Plant Dis, 89: 434.
- Ndiaye, M. (2007). Ecology and management of charcoal rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*) on cowpea in the Sahel. Ph.D. Thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands, p. 196.
- Ramakrishnan, G., R. Jeyarajan and D. Dinakaran (1994). Talc-Based formulation of *Trichoderma viride* for biocontrol of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. J. Biol. Control, 8 (1): 41 44.
- Rego, A. M. (1994). Diseases caused by fungi on cucurbitaceous Inform Agropecuario Belo Horizonte, 17 (182): 48 54.
- Rifi, M. A. (1969). A revision of the genus Trichoderma. Commonw. Mycol. Inst., Mycol. Pap., 116: 56.
- Soliman, N. K., M.S. Mikhaili, P. K. Jarb and E. M. Khalil (1988). Response of broad bean plants infected with *Rhizoctonia solani* to application of growth regulators and calcium. Egypt. J. Phytopath., 20 (1): 1 11.
- Suchandra, J. S., G. Su and S. O. Suh (2000). Host Specialization in the Charcoal Rot Fungus, *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Phytopathology. Russian (2000).
- Yang, X. B. and S. S. Navi (2003). Charcoal rot-dry weather disease <a href="http://www.ipm.iastate.edu/ipm/icm/2003/10-6-2003/">http://www.ipm.iastate.edu/ipm/icm/2003/10-6-2003/</a> charcoalrot.html.1-2.13/1/2008.
- Zveibil, A. and S. Freeman (2005). First report of crown and root rot in strawberry caused y *Macrophomina phaseolina* in Israel. Plant Dis., 89: 1014.

# مرض العفن الفحمى في القرعيات

محمد أحمد عوض ، محمد محمد عمار ، أمين بن عبد الله على بازنبور قسم النبات الزراعي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية – شبين الكوم – مصر

# الملخص العربي

تُزرع نباتات القرعيات فى مساحات كبيرة وفى مواسم مُتعددة على مدار العام فى مصر فى الحقول المفتوحة أو تحت الزراعات المحمية ويُعتبر الخيار والكانتالوب من أهم محاصيل الخُضر فى مصر للاستهلاك المحلى والتصدير .

وتُصاب نباتات الخيار والكانتالوب بالعديد من الأمراض متباينة المسببات والتى من بينها الأمراض الكامنة في التربة والتي يأتي على رأسها مرض العفن الفحمي الذي يتسبب عن الفطر ماكروفومنيا فاسيولينا .

تم جمع عينات يظهر عليها أعراض المرض من أماكن زراعات القرعيات فى تسعة محافظات فى مصر وتم عزل المسبب المرضى منها وتنقيته وتعريفه بالإضافة إلى عزل كائنات التضاد الحيوى من التربة والريزوسفير لتلك النباتات المصابة حيث تم عزل ثلاثة عشر عزلة من الفطر الممرض وعشرة عزلات من كائنات التضاد الحيوى – وقد أظهرت الدراسة أن جميع عزلات الفطر كانت مُمرضة لنباتات الخيار والكانتالوب تحت ظروف الصوبة وتباينت القدرة لتلك العزلات حيث تم اختبار عزلتان قويتان على نباتات الخيار وعزلتان على نباتات الكانتالوب لعمل تجارب المقاومة .

تم استخدام طريقة تشميس التربة بواسطة تغطيتها بعد حقن التربة بعزلات المسبب المرضى وذلك باستخدام مُشمعات بلاستيك مختلفة الألوان (أسود – عديم اللون – أحمر – أخضر) وذلك لمدة شهر ثم الزراعة لنباتات الخيار والكانتالوب وقد أدت عملية التشميس إلى خفض معنوى لموت البادرات قبل وبعد ظهورها فوق سطح التربة وشدة الإصابة بالمرض مع زيادة معنوية في عدد النباتات الحية من كلا النباتين المختبرين .

تم استخدام أربعة أملاح للكالسيوم لكلٍ منها تركيزين وقد أثبتت فعالية عالية فى تخفيض القياسات المرضية ورفع أعداد النباتات الحية . كما استخدمت خمسة مركبات مضادات للأكسدة لكلٍ منها ٥ تركيزات حيث أدت تلك المعاملات إلى السيطرة على المرض وخفض معدلاته أيضاً خاصة عند تطبيق تلك المعاملات فى تركيزاتها العالية .

هذا وكان استخدام كائنات التضاد الحيوى فعال بدرجة كبيرة فى التحكم فى المرض وحدوثه وشدته مع تباين واضح بين كائنات التضاد الحيوى المستخدمة فى عملية المقاومة تبعاً لعزلة الفطر الممرض المستخدمة فى عملية العدوى .